

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/760,275		01/21/2004	Kia Silverbrook	RRA26US	RRA26US 1029	
24011	7590	05/19/2006	,	EXAMINER		
		ESEARCH PTY LT	UHLENHAKE, JASON S			
393 DARI BALMAII	JING STRE N. NSW 2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTRAI	,			2853		
				DATE MAILED: 05/19/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		H·F
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/760,275	SILVERBROOK, KIA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason Uhlenhake	2853	~
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	ş
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 March 2006.	·	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 7	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213,	
Disposition of Claims		• (
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.	, ,		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) = 3	`	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	• = :		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stag	e.
application from the International But		ropoissod	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not t	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			1
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	·	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	formal Patent Application (PTO-152))
Tapor Ho(s)/Hall Date	5/ <u>C. 5</u>	— ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/760,275

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Schalk et al (U.S. Pat. 6,749,298) in view of Silverbrook (U.S. Pat. 6,588,952).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Application/Control Number: 10/760,275 Page 3

Art Unit: 2853

Schalk et al discloses:

- **regarding claim 1,** a printhead auxiliary member arranged to selectively perform a number of different functions in respect of the printhead (Column 4, Lines 5 – 30)

- transmission assembly arranged to selectively engage and drive the printhead auxiliary member (Column 6, Lines 63 68; Column 7, Lines 1 3; Claims 26 and 30)
- regarding claim 2, wherein the transmission assembly includes a drive shaft and is arranged to engage and disengage with the printhead auxiliary member upon rotation of the drive shaft in first and second directions respectively (Column 1, Lines 45 60; Claims 30 and 31)
- **regarding claim 3,** a flipper gear assembly comprising: a first gear (104) fixed to the drive shaft; a second gear (106) radially displaced from the first gear (104); a locating member (1021, 1022) retaining the second gear (106) and the first gear (104) in a meshed configuration (Figure 4; Column 5, Lines 56 61)

Schalk et al does not disclose expressly:

- **regarding claim 1,** a printer cradle complementary to an inkjet cartridge of a type including a pagewidth printhead

Silverbrook discloses:

- **regarding claim 1,** a printer cradle complementary to an inkjet cartridge of a type including a pagewidth printhead (Column 1, Lines 44 – 48; Column 2, Lines 48

Art Unit: 2853

- 67; Figure 7). For the purpose of printing various types of ink one line at a time on the print media without traversing the print media.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of a printer cradle complementary to an inkjet cartridge of a type including a pagewidth printhead as taught by Silverbrook into the device of Schalk et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to print various types of ink one line at a time on the print media without traversing the print media.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/21/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a printer cartridge that has a printhead and an auxiliary member, so that the auxiliary member is removable and replaceable together with the cartridge) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

Page 5

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Uhlenhake whose telephone number is (571) 272-5916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSU May 5, 2006

FEGGINS START EXAMINER